



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,548	08/29/2000	Barry Atkins	RPS920000026US1	9903
42640	7590	06/04/2008	EXAMINER	
DILLON & YUDELL LLP			SHIN, KYUNG H	
8911 NORTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2110				2143
AUSTIN, TX 78759				
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/651,548	Applicant(s) ATKINS ET AL.
	Examiner KYUNG H. SHIN	Art Unit 2143

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No. (s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/8/2008 has been entered.

2. This application was filed on 8-29-2000. Claims 1 - 24 are pending. Claim 1 has been amended. Independent claims are 1, 9, 17.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

3.1 The 112 rejection is withdrawn since a private key or key not publicly published is disclosed within the specification.

3.2 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, encrypting user key with private key of associated key pair. (Remarks Page 9)

Applicant amended the claim limitation to use a private key for the encryption process when no specific type of key was originally indicated. Doonan discloses that the associated key is encrypted using a public key. But, Doonan also discloses in a different procedure that a private key within a public/private key pair can be used to encrypt information such as a message or a key. (see Doonan col 5, ll 48-50: encrypted with a private key corresponding to digital certificate (private key used for information

Art Unit: 2154

encryption; implies public key used for decryption)) This encryption process is equivalent to Applicant's claimed limitation of an encryption process. A private key can be used to encrypt data or information.

3.3 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, "preventing validation of the association of the user with messages". (Remarks Pages 9-10)

The claimed invention discloses how to enable, "preventing validation of the association of the user with messages". The action to prevent this is: "by revoking the associated key at the encrypting data processing system so that the encrypting data processing system is no longer able to decrypt the encrypted user key". The association key is deleted (erased) or revoked (revoked: see spec. page 15 lines 27-28 "Associated key A may be **revoked by simply erasing it from server system 104.**" as per specification by software component at the user system software component (data encryption system).

The claimed invention does not address "the simple deletion at the sender (i.e., encrypting) system of a message recipient's public key does not "prevent validation of the association of the user with messages" and does not render the encrypting data processing system unable "to decrypt the encrypted user key" This argued claim limitation is not addressed in the claimed invention. (Remarks Page 10, II 12-15) The claimed limitation states the procedure to complete (revoke the key) in order to prevent validation of the association of the user with messages.

After an additional analysis of the applicant's invention, remarks, and a search of the available prior art, it was determined that the current set of prior art consisting of Doonan (6,807,277), Cook (6,732,101), and Marshall (4,888,800) which discloses applicant's invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims **17 - 24** are directed towards a computer program product. The Specification discloses on page 17, line 34 to Page 18, line 10 that:

"Programs defining the functions of the present invention can be **delivered** to a data processing system via a variety of **signal-bearing media**, which include, without limitation, non-rewritable storage media (e.g., CD-ROM), rewritable storage media (e.g., a floppy diskette or hard disk drive), and **communication media**, such as digital and **analog networks**. It should be understood, therefore, that such signal-bearing media, when carrying or encoding **computer readable instructions** that direct the functions of the present invention, represent alternative embodiments of the present invention."

The disclosure by the specification indicates that, Computer program production instructions can be carried by a signal-bearing media such as an analog network (carrier wave), which is non-statutory subject matter. (See MPEP 2106.01)
Appropriate correction required.

Claim Rejection – 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2154

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 1 - 4, 6 - 12, 14 - 20, 22 - 24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Doonan et al.** (US Patent No. 6,807,277) in view of **Cook** (US Patent No. 6,732,101).

Regarding Claims 1, 9, 17, Doonan discloses a network messaging system. (Doonan col 1, ll 10-12: “*... present invention is directed to a secure electronic messaging system ...*”) Doonan discloses a method, a system and program product for managing a user key used to sign a message for a data processing system, the method comprising:

- a) assigning a user key to a user and storing the user key in an encrypted data processing system utilized to encrypt messages; (Doonan col 2, ll 1-7: encryption key assigned by key server for message encryption)
- b) encrypting the messages with the user key; (Doonan col 2, ll 7-8: message is encrypted)
- c) storing an associated key in the encrypting data processing system and encrypting the user key with the associated key to obtain an encrypted user key, wherein said associated key comprises a private key; (Doonan col 5, ll 63-67: generate an encrypted user key for transmission; col 5, ll 48-50: additionally; encrypted with a

private key corresponding to digital certificate (private key used for information encryption; implies public key used for decryption)))

- d) the encrypting data processing system communicating at least one encrypted messages together with the encrypted user key to a recipient system in order to permit validation of an association of the user with the encrypted messages by the recipient system; (Doonan col 6, l 1: encrypted message and encrypted key are transmitted to recipient)
- f) computer usable media bearing the control program. (Doonan col 3, ll 9-12; col 9, ll 33-44: software exists on computer readable medium for program execution)

Doonan discloses a check on the validation of a sender's credentials. (Doonan col 5, ll 16-20: sender credentials are verified) Doonan does not explicitly disclose revoking the associated key at the encrypting data processing system to prevent validation.

However, Cook discloses:

- e) preventing validation of the association of the user with messages by revoking the associated key at the encrypting data processing system so that the encrypting data processing system is no longer able to decrypt the encrypted user key. (Cook col 6, ll 40-50: association key deleted (revoked: see spec. page 15 lines 27-28: "Associated key A may be **revoked by simply erasing it** from server system 104.") as per specification by software component at the user system software component residing (data encryption system))

The specification discloses the procedure to prevent validation of the association key

such as by revoking an associated key. Cook discloses an equivalent procedure for revoking or erasing or deleting the associated key.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to delete (revoke) an association key and prevent validation of the association of the user as taught by Cook. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Cook in order to enable a flexible and strengthened encryption system. (Cook col 2, ll 33-38: “*... Messages can be encrypted using any available encryption means at the sender and sent to a forwarding service. The forwarding service can forward the message to each recipient according to the recipient's decryption capability and preference. ...*”)

Regarding Claims 2, 10, 18, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 1, 9, 17, further comprising:

- a) decrypting the user key with the associated key; (Doonan col 6, ll 1-3: encrypted key is decrypted)
- b) decrypting the messages with the user key. (Doonan col 6, ll 1-3: encrypted message is decrypted)

Regarding Claims 3, 11, 19, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 1, 9, 17, wherein: the encrypting data processing system further comprises a client system and a server system coupled for communication, the client system (Doonan col 3, ll 9-12: network connected client (sender) and server

system) having a client memory device and the server system having an encryption chip and a server memory device:

- a) storing the user key further comprises storing the user key in the client memory device; (Doonan col 9, ll 44-47: memory area used for data and workspace storage)
- b) storing the associated key further comprises storing the associated key in the server memory device; (Doonan col 5, ll 4-5: key is stored at server system database)

Doonan discloses a check on the validation of a sender's credentials. (Doonan col 5, ll 16-20: sender credentials are verified) Doonan does not explicitly disclose preventing validation of messages associated with the user by eliminating the associated key from the server memory device.

However, Cook discloses:

- c) preventing validation further comprises preventing validation of messages associated with the user by eliminating the associated key from the server memory device. (Cook col 6, ll 40-50: deletion (revocation) of association key at system via software component on server system in order to prevent validation)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to prevent validation of messages associated with the user by eliminating the associated key as taught by Cook. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Cook in order to enable a flexible and strengthened encryption system. (Cook col 2, ll 33-38)

Regarding Claims 4, 12, 20, Doonan does not explicitly disclose a server system to receive, encryption and forward message. However, Cook discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 3, 11, 19, wherein encrypting the messages further comprises:

- a) sending the messages to be encrypted from the client system to the server system; (Cook col 2, II 19-23: send message from client to server for encryption)
- b) encrypting the messages using the encryption chip of the server system; (Cook col 2, II 51-55: encrypt message)
- c) sending the encrypted messages from the server system to the client system.
(Cook col 2, II 51-55: deliver encrypted message to recipient (client) system)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to send messages, encrypt messages, and retrieve encrypted messages as taught by Cook. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Cook in order to enable a flexible and strengthened encryption system. (Cook col 2, II 33-38)

Regarding Claims 6, 14, 22, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 1, 9, 17, further comprising: encrypting the associated key by using an encryption chip key which is stored on an encryption chip of the encrypting data processing system. (Doonan col 2, II 3-8: encryption key transferred to sender system)

Regarding Claims 7, 15, 23, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 6, 14, 22, further comprising:
communicating an encrypted associated key to validate the association of the user with the encrypted messages. (Doonan col 5, ll 63-67)

Regarding Claims 8, 16, 24, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 7, 15, 23, further comprising: decrypting the associated key with the encryption chip key. (Doonan col 6, ll 1-3)

8. **Claims 5, 13, 21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Doonan-Cook** and further in view of **Marshall** (US Patent No. **4,888,800**).

Regarding Claims 5, 13, 21, Doonan-Cook does not explicitly disclose the ability to erase key information after processing of an encrypt message. However, Marshall discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 4, 12, 20, further comprising: erasing from the server system all data relating to the encrypted messages after the encrypted messages are sent from the server system to the client system. (Marshall col 2, ll 30-35: key information is erased from system)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan-Cook to erase all key related information after message processing maintaining only current information as taught by Marshall. One of

Art Unit: 2154

ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Marshall in order to enable a flexible and strengthened network key management system. (Marshall col 1, ll 50-58: “*... system has the advantage ... only to maintain the keys required for whatever current communication sessions ... a pair of session keys ... every time a link or session is requested ...*”)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KYUNG H. SHIN whose telephone number is (571)272-3920. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. FLYNN can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kyung Hye Shin
Examiner
Art Unit 2143

KHS
May 27, 2008

/Nathan J. Flynn/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2154